

Report no.: (TH23-237 / version 1)

### **Greenhouse Gas Verification Report Opinion**

THGHG23171-00

Verification

**EVERGREEN LOGISTICS CORPORATION** 

scope:

5F., No. 170, Sec. 2, Minsheng E. Rd., Zhongshan Dist., Taipei City, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

The information of other sites are listed on the subsequent page.

Verification

ISO 14064-1: 2018

criteria:

Verification

AFNOR Asia, Ltd. (AFNOR ASIA) confirms that the GHG statement (GHG inventory report) of the above-mentioned organization(s) is reported in accordance with the verification criteria agreed by both parties. AFNOR performs the verification with an objective and fair

Objectives:

position and principle (relevant, complete, consistent, accurate, and transparent).

Data

2023/01/01~2023/12/31

period:

Direct GHG emissions (category 1):

50.0083 tons CO2e

Verification

Energy indirect GHG emissions (category 2):

292.1349 tons CO2e

data:

Indirect GHG emissions (category 3~6):

614.5794 tons CO2e

Global warming potential (GWP): refer to IPCC

2021 Year, the 6 assessment report

Statement basis: This statement must be interpreted as a whole with the following.

GHG Inventory report (version:

; Date :

02 05, 2024

**GHG** Inventory

(version:

2 : Date :

Materiality:

02 05, 2024

5% (category 1 and category 2)

Type of opinion:

Confirm that the organization submits a GHG statement in accordance with the requirements of the verification criteria agreed by the two parties, and fairly presents the GHG data and related information, which is consistent with the

Verification conclusion:

verification scope, objectives and criteria agreed by the two parties. Declares that the reasonable assurance level of the inventory data is category 1

and category 2.

Date of issuance:

03 06, 2024

APPROVED BY

Patrick NI **Director for Certification** ON BEHALF OF AFNOR ASIA





Report no.: (TH23-237 / version 1)

### The geographical location of multiple sites:

site	Address	
1	3F/5F/6F/9F, NO.170, SEC.2, MINSHENG E. RD., ZHONGSHAN DIST., TAIPEI CITY, TAIWAN (R.O.C.) 3F/5F/6F/7F, NO.172, SEC.2, MINSHENG E. RD., ZHONGSHAN DIST., TAIPEI CITY, TAIWAN (R.O.C.)	
2	5F-5C26, NO.8-1, HANGQIN N. RD., DAYUAN DIST., TAOYUAN CITY, TAIWAN (R.O.C.)	
3	3F, NO.102, JIANGUO RD., DAYUAN DIST., TAOYUAN CITY, TAIWAN (R.O.C.)	
4	NO.390, SEC. 2, NANKAN RD., LUZHU DIST., TAOYUAN CITY, TAIWAN (R.O.C.)	
5	21F-1, NO.499, ZHONGMING S. RD., WEST DIST., TAICHUNG CITY, TAIWAN (R.O.C.)	
6	4F-6, NO.110, SANDUO 4TH RD., LINGYA DIST., KAOHSIUNG CITY, TAIWAN (R.O.C.)	

### Emissions data for each category:

Category	Description of content	GHG emissions (tons CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Note
(Category 1) Direct GHG emissions	Mobile combustion sources, fugitive emission source	50.0083	
(Category 2) Indirect GHG emissions from imported energy	electricity	292.1349	location basis
(Category 3) Indirect GHG emissions from transportation	Upstream transportation of raw materials, employee commuting, business travel,	130.5152	
(Category 4) Indirect GHG emissions from products used by organization	Energy indirect, purchased products, tap water	67.7430	
(Category 5) Indirect GHG emissions associated with the use of products from the organization	Downstream leased assets	416.3212	
(Category 6) Indirect GHG emissions from other sources	NA	NA	я

Biomass burning emission:

0.0000 tons CO2e





Report no.: (TH23-237 / version 1)

Data for multiple sites:

Emission unit: tons CO2e

site	Direct GHG emissions(Category 1)	Indirect GHG emissions from energy(Category 2)	Indirect GHG emissions(Category 3~6)	
1	46.1057	170.5844	123.8455	
2	0.5206	3.3611	10.3578	
3	0.1300	3.6754	421.3559	
4	0.3126	83.9930	18.1130	
5	1.2152	16.0766	17.8169	
6	1.7243	14.4444	23.0903	

#### Other related verification information

Organization boundaries: :	operational control	
GHG type:	Carbon dioxide (CO2), Methane (CH4), Nitrous oxide (N2O), Hydrofluorocarbon (HFCs), Perfluorocarbon (PFCs), Sulfur hexafluoride (SF6), Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)	
Purpose of intended use:	Consciously understand greenhouse gas emissions as a basis for reduction strategies.	
	(This statement of responsibility applies only to the purpose of intended use mentioned above and not to any other purpose.)	
Significance criteria of Indirect emission:	- Identified stakeholder requirements: ☑Yes ☐No - Identified regulation requirements : ☑Yes ☐No - Identified magnitude of emissions : ☑Yes ☐No - Others :	
Power factor:	Refer to the 2022 annual power factory announced by the Bureau of Energy, Ministry of Economic Affairs on 06 21, 2023	
Data Sources :	<ul> <li>☑ The primary data is collected from on-site operation activities.</li> <li>☑ Category 3~6 emissions are calculated with estimated data.</li> <li>The secondary data sources are: Taiwan EPA Carbon Footprint Information</li> <li>☐ others:</li> </ul>	
Verification method:	⊠On-site	
Qualified opinion :	NO	
Others:	NO	
Verification date : 01 22+23+24+25+26, 2024 02 05, 2024		
Report date :	02 06, 2024	





Report no.: (TH23-237 / version 1)

Verification team and technical review

Lead

He-Yuan Chen

簽名: He Fuan Chan

verifier:

Independent review:

Hsiao-Kuang Ling

簽名

C. Luan

### Verification processes

AFNOR is based on risk assessment methods and controls and processes of evidences collection are including pre-assessment, on-site visits, interviews with site personnel, confirmation of documented evidence provided, sampling of emission data, evaluation of data management systems, confirming the collection and aggregation of emission data, analysis between production and energy consumption, and confirmation of whether the terms of the agreement referred to are properly applied.

#### Roles and Responsibilities

The responsible party, the organization, is responsible for preparing and submitting a GHG statement in accordance with the verification criteria. This responsibility includes the planning, implementation and maintenance of data management systems related to GHG declarations, GHG inventory and GHG inventory reports.

AFNOR provides independent third-party verification of the reported GHG emissions and issues verification opinions for the organizational GHG emissions. The verification team is independent and impartial, and there is no conflict of interest.

